



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/303,424	05/03/1999	JUSSI LEMILAINEN	017.37066X00	8349

20457 7590 12/17/2002

ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS  
SUITE 1800  
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

EXAMINER

TESFAMARIAM, MUSSIE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/303,424

Applicant(s)

LEMILAINEN

Examiner

TESFAMARIAM

Group Art Unit

3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC STAMBER (SPE)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) DONALD STOUT (APPLICANT'S REP)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 12/13/02Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1 (REPRESENTATIVE)

Identification of prior art discussed:

YOON ET AL.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

EXAMINER STATED THAT THE "AUTHENTICATION" VALUE OF YOON  
WAS AN ADDITIONAL CHARGE TO ACCESS THE NETWORK OVER AND  
ABOVE ANY CONTENT DOWNLOAD CHARGES. SINCE THIS POINT IS  
ESSENTIALLY A NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION, PROSECUTION WILL BE  
REOPENED AND A NEW ACTION WILL FOLLOW SHORTLY.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
ERIC W. STAMBER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.